In the Office Action mailed from the United States Patent and Trademark Office on March 8, 2006, the Examiner allowed claims 1, 3-9 and 12-15, and 17-31. The Examiner rejected claims 10, 11, and 32-35. Applicants provide the following in response to the Examiner's rejections and objections.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph.

Claim 10 has been amended from "said pocket device" to "said pocket assembly." There is appropriate antecedent and basis for this limitation in Claim 8. Claim 11 which appropriately depends from Claim 10 accordingly complies with second paragraph, Section 112 as well.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph and 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

Claims 32-35 have been canceled from the present application without prejudice to the Applicant's right to prosecute the subject matter of Claims 32-35 in a co-pending or subsequent patent application.

U.S. Serial No. 10/757,744 Attorney Docket No. 9279.79 Page 10

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are now allocation for allowance and requests consideration to the same. If any impediments to the allowance of this application for patent remain after the above amendments and remarks are entered, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone conference with the undersigned attorney of record.

DATED this day of June, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 35,232

KIRTON & McCONKIE 1800 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Telephone (801) 321-4814 Facsimile (801)321-4893

JRM/krs Doc#886640